

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CAROL BENZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE CLOROX COMPANY,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C13-01361 WHO

AMENDED STIPULATION AND
ORDER SELECTING ADR
PROCESS

Counsel report that they have had additional conferences regarding ADR and seek to amend their previously-filed stipulation under Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5 as follows:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

- ☐ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
- ☐ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
- ☐ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

- X Private ADR *(please identify process and provider)* The parties consent to private mediation before Mark S. Rudy.

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- ☐ the presumptive deadline *(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)*
- X other requested deadline On or by October 31, 2013, subject to the mediator's availability.

Dated: 8-15-2013

/s/ Dennis J. Woodruff
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: 8-15-2013

/s/ Susan W. Pangborn
Attorney for Defendant

ORDER

- ☒ The parties' amended stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
☐ The parties' amended stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 16, 2013



Judge William H. Orrick
UNITED STATES JUDGE

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."